



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,679	04/28/2006	Britta Hardy	31831	9819

7590  
Martin D. Moynihan  
PRTSI, Inc.  
P.O. Box 16446  
Arlington, VA 22215

03/28/2008

EXAMINER
----------

NIEBAUER, RONALD T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1654

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

03/28/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/577,679	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HARDY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> RONALD T. NIEBAUER	<b>Art Unit</b> 1654	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) RONALD T. NIEBAUER.

(3) Martin Moynihan.

(2) Anish Gupta.

(4) Hadassa Waterman; Efrat Lehman.

Date of Interview: 20 March 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 36-38,44 and 46.

Identification of prior art discussed: art cited in previous office actions.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☒ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The claim language was discussed. Suggested claim language and possible claim amendments were discussed. The prior art was discussed especially in light of possible claim amendments. No final agreement was reached..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Anish Gupta/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1654

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required